1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 5 AT TACOMA 6 7 EMILY M. PIERCE NORTH and JAMES E. NORTH, CASE NO. C13-6090 BHS 8 Plaintiff, ORDER 9 v. 10 MICHAEL MIRRA and TACOMA 11 HOUSING AUTHORITY, 12 Defendants. 13 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs James E. North and Emily M. 14 Price North's ("Norths") amended proposed complaint. Dkt. 3. Having reviewed the 15 amended proposed complaint, the Court is unclear as to whether or not the Norths are still 16 asking to proceed in forma pauperis (see Dkts. 1 and 1-1 (prior applications), as they 17 have not paid the filing fee but did not submit another application to proceed in forma 18 pauperis. See Dkt. 3. Nor do they move the Court for such status in their amended 19 proposed complaint, although they state they do not have the financial means to pay for 20 legal representation and ask the Court to appoint counsel. See id. at 9. 21 22

The Norths must submit an additional, updated application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee by April 30, 2014. Otherwise, the Court will dismiss the case without prejudice and without further notice to the Norths. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 16th day of April, 2014. United States District Judge